

FERNANDO CAMACHO,)	
)	Case No.: 2:14-cv-01874-RBF-VCF
Plaintiff,)	
vs.)	ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT &
)	RECOMMENDATION OF MAGISTRATE
P & M HOLDINGS,)	JUDGE
)	
Defendant.)	
)	
)	

A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to “make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *see also* Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” *de novo* or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985).

• • •

1 Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by June 26, 2015. No objections
2 have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate
3 Judge's recommendation(s) that Plaintiff's Complaint (ECF No. 1) be dismissed without
4 prejudice.

5 Therefore, the Court has determined that Magistrate Judge's Recommendation should be
6 **ACCEPTED and ADOPTED** to the extent that it is not inconsistent with this opinion.

7 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 29) is
8 **ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.**

9 **IT IS ORDERED** Plaintiff's Complaint (ECF No. 1) de dismissed without prejudice.

10 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of Court shall serve this Order on the
11 persons and respective addresses noted in the Report and Recommendation. The Clerk shall enter
12 judgment accordingly and close the case.

13 DATED this 3rd day of August, 2015.

14
15 

16 RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II
17 United States District Court
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25